***Miranda* v. *Arizona* (1966)**

**Background Summary**

**Questions to Consider:**

1. What rights of the accused are protected by the Fifth Amendment? The Sixth Amendment?
2. If Ernesto Miranda had been informed of these rights by the police, do you think he would have done anything differently?
3. This case involves balancing the rights of the accused against the desire society to fight crime.

Could informing accused persons of their rights hurt the ability of the police to fight crime? Why or why not?

1. Do you think that informing people of their rights when they are accused of crimes helps protect

innocent citizens? Why or why not?